

DECISION DOCUMENT
NATIONWIDE PERMIT 23

This document discusses the factors considered by the Corps of Engineers (Corps) during the issuance process for this Nationwide Permit (NWP). This document contains: (1) the public interest review required by Corps regulations at 33 CFR 320.4(a)(1) and (2); (2) a discussion of the environmental considerations necessary to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act; and (3) the impact analysis specified in Subparts C through F of the 404(b)(1) Guidelines (40 CFR Part 230). This evaluation of the NWP includes a discussion of compliance with applicable laws, consideration of public comments, an alternatives analysis, and a general assessment of individual and cumulative impacts, including the general potential effects on each of the public interest factors specified at 33 CFR 320.4(a).

1. APPROVED CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION. Activities undertaken, assisted, authorized, regulated, funded, or financed, in whole or in part, by another Federal agency or department where that agency or department has determined, pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulation for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR part 1500 et seq.), that the activity, work, or discharge is categorically excluded from environmental documentation, because it is included within a category of actions which neither individually nor cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment, and the Office of the Chief of Engineers (ATTN: CECW-OR) has been furnished notice of the agency's or department's application for the categorical exclusion and concurs with that determination. Before approval for purposes of this NWP of any agency's categorical exclusions, the Chief of Engineers will solicit public comment. In addressing these comments, the Chief of Engineers may require certain conditions for authorization of an agency's categorical exclusions under this NWP.

General conditions of the NWPs are in the Federal Register notice announcing the issuance of this NWP. Notification requirements, additional conditions, limitations, and restrictions are in 33 CFR Part 330.

2. STATUTORY AUTHORITY:
 - (a) Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403)
 - (b) Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344)
3. COMPLIANCE WITH RELATED LAWS (33 CFR 320.3):
 - (a) General:

NWPs are a type of general permit designed to authorize certain activities that have minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment and generally comply with the related laws cited in 33 CFR 320.3. Activities that result in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, individually or cumulatively, cannot be authorized by NWPs. Individual review of each activity authorized by an NWP will not normally be performed, except when preconstruction notification to the Corps is required or when an applicant requests verification that an activity complies with an NWP. Potential adverse impacts and compliance with the laws cited in 33 CFR 320.3 are controlled by the terms and conditions of each NWP, regional and case-specific conditions, and the review process that is undertaken prior to the issuance of NWPs.

The evaluation of this NWP, and related documentation, considers compliance with each of the following laws, where applicable: Sections 401, 402, and 404 of the Clean Water Act; Section 307(c) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended; Section 302 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended; the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956; the Migratory Marine Game-Fish Act; the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Federal Power Act of 1920, as amended; the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966; the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act; the Endangered Species Act; the Deepwater Port Act of 1974; the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972; Section 7(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act; the Ocean Thermal Energy Act of 1980; the National Fishing Enhancement Act of 1984; and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery and Conservation and Management Act. In addition, compliance of the NWP with other Federal requirements, such as Executive Orders and Federal regulations addressing issues such as floodplains, essential fish habitat, and critical resource waters is considered.

(b) Terms and Conditions:

Many NWPs have notification requirements that trigger case-by-case review of certain activities. Two NWP general conditions require case-by-case review of all activities that may adversely affect Federally-listed endangered or threatened species or historic properties (i.e., General Conditions 11 and 12). General Condition 7 restricts the use of NWPs for activities that are located in Federally-designated wild and scenic rivers. None of the NWPs authorize artificial reefs. General Condition 15 prohibits the use of an NWP with other NWPs, except when the acreage loss of waters of the United States does not exceed the highest specified acreage limit of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project.

In some cases, activities authorized by an NWP may require other Federal, state, or local authorizations. Examples of such cases include, but are not limited to: activities that are in marine sanctuaries or affect marine sanctuaries or marine mammals; the ownership, construction, location, and operation of ocean thermal conversion facilities or deep water ports beyond the territorial seas; activities that result in discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the

United States and require Section 401 water quality certification; or activities in a state operating under a coastal zone management program approved by the Secretary of Commerce under the Coastal Zone Management Act. In such cases, a provision of the NWP states that an NWP does not obviate the need to obtain other authorizations required by law. [33 CFR 330.4(b)(2)]

Additional safeguards include provisions that allow the Chief of Engineers, division engineers, and/or district engineers to: assert discretionary authority and require an individual permit for a specific activity; modify NWPs for specific activities by adding special conditions on a case-by-case basis; add conditions on a regional or nationwide basis to certain NWPs; or take action to suspend or revoke an NWP or NWP authorization for activities within a region or state. Regional conditions are imposed to protect important regional concerns and resources. [33 CFR 330.4(e) and 330.5]

(c) Review Process:

The analyses in this document and the coordination that was undertaken prior to the issuance of the NWP fulfill the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and other acts promulgated to protect the quality of the environment.

All NWPs that authorize activities which may result in discharges into waters of the United States require Section 401 water quality certification. NWPs that authorize activities within, or affecting land or water uses within a state that has a Federally-approved coastal zone management program, must also be certified as consistent with the state's program. The procedures to ensure that the NWPs comply with these laws are described in 33 CFR 330.4(c) and (d), respectively.

(d) Public Comment and Response:

For a summary of the public comments received in response to the August 9, 2001, Federal Register notice, refer to the preamble in the Federal Register notice announcing the re-issuance of this NWP. The substantive comments received in response to the August 9, 2001, Federal Register notice were used to improve the NWP by changing NWP terms and limits, notification requirements, and/or NWP general conditions, as necessary.

4. INDIVIDUAL AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS:

(a) General Evaluation Criteria:

This document contains a general assessment of the foreseeable effects of the individual

activities authorized by this NWP, the anticipated cumulative effects of those activities, and the potential future losses of waters of the United States that are estimated to occur until the expiration date of the NWP. In the assessment of these individual and cumulative effects, the terms and limits of the NWP, notification requirements, and the standard NWP general conditions are considered. The supplementary documentation provided by division engineers will address how regional conditions affect the individual and cumulative effects of the NWP.

The following evaluation comprises the NEPA analysis, the public interest review specified in 33 CFR 320.4(a)(1) and (2), and the impact analysis specified in Subparts C through F of the 404(b)(1) Guidelines (40 CFR Part 230).

The issuance of an NWP is based on a general assessment of the effects on public interest and environmental factors that are likely to occur as a result of using this NWP to authorize activities in waters of the United States. As such, this assessment must be speculative or predictive in general terms. Since NWPs authorize activities across the nation, projects eligible for NWP authorization may be constructed in a wide variety of environmental settings. Therefore, it is difficult to predict all of the indirect impacts that may be associated with each activity authorized by an NWP. For example, the NWP that authorizes 25 cubic yard discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States may be used to fulfill a variety of project purposes. Indication that a factor is not relevant to a particular NWP does not necessarily mean that the NWP would never have an effect on that factor, but that it is a factor not readily identified with the authorized activity. Factors may be relevant, but the adverse effects on the aquatic environment are negligible, such as the impacts of a boat ramp on water level fluctuations or flood hazards. Only the reasonably foreseeable direct or indirect effects are included in the environmental assessment of this NWP. Division and district engineers will impose, as necessary, additional conditions on the NWP authorization or exercise discretionary authority to address locally important factors or to ensure that the authorized activity results in no more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment. In any case, adverse effects will be controlled by the terms, conditions, and additional provisions of the NWP. For example, Section 7 consultation will be required for activities that may affect endangered species.

(b) NEPA Alternatives:

This evaluation includes an analysis of alternatives based on the requirements of NEPA, which requires a more expansive review than the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines. The alternatives discussed below are based on an analysis of the potential environmental impacts and impacts to the Corps, Federal and state resource agencies, general public, and prospective permittees. Since the consideration of off-site alternatives under Section 404(b)(1) does not apply to specific projects authorized by general permits, the alternatives analysis discussed below consists of a general NEPA alternatives analysis for the NWP.

(i) No Action Alternative (no Nationwide Permit):

The no action alternative would not achieve one of the goals of the Corps Nationwide Permit program, which is to reduce the regulatory burden on applicants for activities that result in minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, individually or cumulatively. The no action alternative would also reduce the Corps ability to pursue the current level of review for other activities that have greater adverse effects on the aquatic environment, including activities that require individual permits as a result of the Corps exercising its discretionary authority under the NWP program. The no action alternative would also reduce the Corps ability to conduct compliance actions.

If this NWP is not available, substantial additional resources would be required for the Corps to evaluate these minor activities through the individual permit process, and for the public and Federal and state resource agencies to review and comment on the large number of public notices for these activities. In a considerable majority of cases, when the Corps publishes public notices for proposed activities that result in minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, the Corps typically does not receive responses to these public notices from either the public or Federal and state resource agencies. Another important benefit of the NWP program that would not be achieved through the no action alternative is the incentive for project proponents to design their projects so that those activities meet the terms and conditions of an NWP. The Corps believes the NWPs have significantly reduced adverse effects to the aquatic environment because most applicants modify their projects to comply with the NWPs and avoid the delays and costs typically associated with the individual permit process.

In the absence of this NWP, Department of the Army (DA) authorization in the form of another general permit (i.e., regional or programmatic general permits, where available) or individual permits would be required. Corps district offices may develop regional general permits if an NWP is not available, but this is an impractical and inefficient method for activities with minimal individual or cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment that are conducted across the Nation. Not all districts would develop these regional general permits for a variety of reasons. The regulated public, especially those companies that conduct work in more than one Corps district, would be adversely affected by the widespread use of regional general permits because of the greater potential for lack of consistency and predictability in the authorization of similar activities with minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. These companies would incur greater costs in their efforts to comply with different regional general permit requirements between Corps districts. Nevertheless, in some states Corps districts have issued programmatic general permits to take the place of this and other NWPs. However, this approach only works in states with regulatory programs comparable to the Corps Regulatory Program.

(ii) National Modification Alternatives:

2. Since the Corps Nationwide Permit program began in 1977, the Corps has continuously strived to develop NWP that authorize activities that result only in minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, individually or cumulatively. Every five years the Corps reevaluates the NWPs during the reissuance process, and may modify an NWP to address concerns for the aquatic environment. Utilizing collected data and institutional knowledge concerning activities authorized by the Corps regulatory program, the Corps constantly reevaluates the potential impacts of activities authorized by NWPs. The Corps also uses substantive public comments on proposed NWPs to assess the expected impacts. This NWP was developed to authorize activities undertaken, assisted, authorized, regulated, funded, or financed, in whole or in part, by another Federal agency or department where that agency or department has determined, pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulation for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR part 1500 et seq.), that the activity, work, or discharge is categorically excluded from environmental documentation, because it is included within a category of actions which neither individually nor cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment, and the Office of the Chief of Engineers (ATTN: CECW-OR) has been furnished notice of the agency's or department's application for the categorical exclusion and concurs with that determination. The Corps has considered modifying or adding NWP general conditions, as discussed in the preamble of the Federal Register notice announcing the re-issuance of this NWP.

In response to the August 9, 2001, Federal Register notice, the Corps received a few comments. One commenter indicated that although the Office of the Chief of Engineers may have been furnished notice of a list of activities, and concurred, a list of activities did not appear to have been included in the referenced August 9, 2001, Federal Register notice on which the reissuance of the NWP Program will be based. The commenter further stated that the absence of this critical information mirrors the Corps piece-mealing approach to Regulatory implementation of the CWA that is found in the issuance of Corps permits in the southeastern U.S. The commenter also stated that because of the lack of this information, the public is unable to determine whether new information supporting reversal may have become available since the decisions that these activities do not have a significant effect on the human environment. Another commenter stated that this permit illegally delegates to other federal agencies the ability to decide whether their projects will result in more than minimal impacts. The permit effectively has no ceiling on individual or cumulative impacts and covers a broad range of activities. An additional commenter suggested that the NWP 23 activities listed are extremely dissimilar in nature and impact. It is not possible for the agencies to have made a reasonable evaluation of the cumulative impacts of all of the activities in this permit.

When the Corps considers whether an agency's categorical exclusions have no more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment and whether they could be authorized by this

NWP, the Corps first seeks public comment. The Corps then determines whether the agencies categorical exclusions have no more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. The Corps has not approved all agency categorical exclusions, has added further conditions and has required pre-construction notifications to ensure that there are no more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, individually and cumulatively. Furthermore, Corps districts and divisions have the discretionary authority to require regional conditions, case-specific conditions or individual permits where the adverse effects may be more than minimal.

One commenter indicated that all projects requiring stream channelization should be evaluated through the Individual Permit process. Another commenter suggested projects affecting more than 1/10th acre of wetland should require a pre-construction notification to the Corps and those affecting 1/3 acre should require an Individual Permit. A commenter recommended all bridge projects that are not longer than 1.5 times bankfull width should be elevated to an individual permit process.

General condition 21 contains provisions to minimize adverse impacts related to water movement, including channelization and passage of high water flows. When reviewing an agency's categorical exclusion for approval under this NWP the Corps considers the need for a pre-construction notification. We have required a pre-construction notification where we believe that it was necessary to ensure that the adverse effects would be no more than minimal. And that we would require an individual permit process where needed. The nationwide permit is reissued without change.

(iii) Regional Modification Alternatives:

An important aspect for the NWPs is the increased emphasis on regional conditions to address differences in aquatic resource functions and values across the nation. Division engineers can add regional conditions to the NWPs to enhance protection of the aquatic environment and address local concerns. Division engineers can also revoke an NWP if the use of that NWP results in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, especially in high value or unique wetlands and other waters.

Corps divisions and districts also monitor and analyze the cumulative adverse effects of the NWPs on a watershed basis, and if warranted, further restrict or prohibit the use of the NWPs to ensure that the NWPs do not authorize activities that result in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. To the maximum extent practicable, division and district engineers will use regulatory databases and institutional knowledge about the typical adverse effects of activities authorized by NWPs, as well as substantive public comments, to assess the individual and cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment resulting from regulated activities. When conducting this assessment, division and district engineers can only consider those activities regulated by the Corps under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, Section

404 of the Clean Water Act, and Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972. Adverse impacts resulting from activities outside of the Corps scope of analysis, such as the construction or expansion of upland developments, cannot be considered in the Corps analysis of cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment.

(iv) Case-specific On-site Alternatives:

Although the terms and conditions for this NWP have been established at the national level to authorize most activities that have minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, division and district engineers have the authority to impose case-specific special conditions on an NWP authorization to ensure that the authorized work will result in minimal adverse effects.

General Condition 19 requires the permittee to minimize and avoid impacts to waters of the United States on-site to the maximum extent practicable. Off-site alternatives cannot be considered for activities authorized by NWPs. During the evaluation of a preconstruction notification, the District Engineer may determine that additional avoidance and minimization is practicable. The District Engineer may also condition the NWP authorization to require compensatory mitigation to offset losses of waters of the United States and ensure that the net adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal. As another example, the NWP authorization can be conditioned to prohibit the permittee from conducting the work during specific times of the year to protect spawning fish and shellfish. If the proposed work will result in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, then the District Engineer will exercise discretionary authority and require an individual permit. Discretionary authority can be asserted where there are concerns for the aquatic environment, including high value aquatic habitats. The individual permit review process requires a project-specific alternatives analysis, including the consideration of off-site alternatives, and a public interest review.

(c) Impact Analysis

(i) General:

This NWP authorizes activities undertaken, assisted, authorized, regulated, funded, or financed, in whole or in part, by another Federal agency or department where that agency or department has determined, pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulation for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR part 1500 et seq.), that the activity, work, or discharge is categorically excluded from environmental documentation, because it is included within a category of actions which neither individually nor cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment, and the Office of the Chief of Engineers (ATTN: CECW-OR) has been furnished notice of the agency's or department's application for the categorical exclusion and concurs with that determination. Before approval for purposes of this NWP of any agency's categorical exclusions, the Chief of Engineers will

solicit public comment. In addressing these comments, the Chief of Engineers may require certain conditions for authorization of an agency's categorical exclusions under this NWP.

This NWP does not have a have an acreage limit or require notification to the District Engineer. However, division engineers can regionally condition this NWP to allow case-by-case review of certain activities authorized by the NWP, to ensure that those activities result in minimal adverse effects to the aquatic environment.

Additional conditions can be placed on proposed activities on a regional or case-by-case basis to ensure that the work has minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. Regional conditioning of this NWP will be used to account for differences in aquatic resource functions and values across the country, ensure that the NWP authorizes only those activities with minimal individual or cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment, and allow each Corps district to prioritize its workload based on where its efforts will best serve to protect the aquatic environment. Regional conditions can prohibit the use of an NWP in certain waters (e.g., high value waters or specific types of wetlands or waters), lower notification thresholds, or require notification for all work in certain watersheds or types of waters. Specific NWPs can also be revoked on a geographic or watershed basis where the adverse effects resulting from the use of those NWPs are more than minimal.

In high value waters, division and district engineers can: 1) prohibit the use of the NWP in those waters and require an individual permit or regional general permit; 2) decrease the acreage limit for the NWP; 3) lower the notification threshold of the NWP to require notification for activities with smaller impacts in those waters; 4) require notification for all activities in those waters; 5) add regional conditions to the NWP to ensure that the adverse environmental effects are minimal; or 6) for those activities that require notification, add special conditions to NWP authorizations, such as compensatory mitigation requirements, to ensure that the adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal. NWPs can authorize activities in high value waters as long as the individual and cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal.

The construction and use of fills for temporary access for construction may be authorized by NWP 33 or regional general permits issued by division or district engineers. The related work must meet the terms and conditions of the specified permit(s). If the discharge is dependent on portions of a larger project that require an individual permit, this NWP will not apply. [See 33 CFR 330.6(c) and (d)]

(ii) Public interest review factors (33 CFR 320.4(a)(1)):

For each of the 20 public interest review factors, the extent of the Corps consideration of expected impacts resulting from the use of this NWP is discussed, as well as the reasonably foreseeable cumulative adverse effects that are expected to occur. The Corps decision process

involves consideration of the benefits and detriments that may result from the activities authorized by this NWP.

(a) Conservation: The activities authorized by this NWP may modify the natural resource characteristics of the project area. Compensatory mitigation, if required for activities authorized by this NWP, will result in the restoration, enhancement, creation, or preservation of aquatic habitats that will offset losses of conservation values. The adverse effects of activities authorized by this NWP on conservation will be minor, since the NWP authorizes only those activities with minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment and the Corps scope of analysis is usually limited to impacts to aquatic resources.

(b) Economics: The activities authorized by this NWP will have positive impacts on the local economy. During construction, these activities will generate jobs and revenue for local contractors as well as revenue to supply companies that sell these types of construction materials.

(c) Aesthetics: Activities authorized under the NWP will alter the visual character of some waters of the United States. The extent and perception of these changes will vary, depending on the size and configuration of the development, the nature of the surrounding area, and the public uses of the area. These activities authorized by this NWP can also modify other aesthetic characteristics, such as air quality and the amount of noise. The increased human use of the project area and surrounding land will also alter local aesthetic values.

(d) General environmental concerns: Activities authorized by this NWP will affect general environmental concerns, such as water, air, noise, and land pollution. The authorized work will also affect the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the environment. The adverse effects of the activities authorized by this NWP on general environmental concerns will be minor, since the NWP authorizes only those activities with minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. Adverse effects to the chemical composition of the aquatic environment will be controlled by General Condition 18, which states that the material used for construction must be free from toxic pollutants in toxic amounts. General Condition 19 requires mitigation to minimize adverse effects to the aquatic environment through on-site avoidance and minimization. Compensatory mitigation may be required by district engineers to ensure that the net adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal. It is important to note that the Corps scope of analysis is usually limited to impacts to aquatic resources. Specific environmental concerns are addressed in other sections of this document.

(e) Wetlands: Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States for categorical exclusion actions may result in the destruction of wetlands. In most cases, the affected wetlands will be permanently filled, especially where buildings, roads, utilities, and other permanent fills are located, resulting in the permanent loss of aquatic resource functions and

values. Wetlands may also be converted to other uses and habitat types. Some wetlands may be temporarily impacted by the work through the use of temporary staging areas and access roads. These wetlands will be restored, unless the District Engineer authorizes another use for the area, but the plant community may be different. Compensatory mitigation may be required to offset the loss of wetlands and ensure that the adverse effects to the aquatic environment are minimal.

Wetlands provide habitat, including foraging, nesting, spawning, rearing, and resting sites for aquatic and terrestrial species. The destruction of wetlands may alter natural drainage patterns. Wetlands reduce erosion by stabilizing the substrate. Wetlands also act as storage areas for stormwater and flood waters. Wetlands may act as groundwater discharge or recharge areas. The loss of wetland vegetation will adversely affect water quality because these plants trap sediments, pollutants, and nutrients and transform chemical compounds. Wetland vegetation also provides habitat for microorganisms that remove nutrients and pollutants from water. Wetlands, through the accumulation of organic matter, act as sinks for some nutrients and other chemical compounds, reducing the amounts of these substances in the water.

General Condition 19 requires on-site avoidance and minimization of impacts to waters of the United States, including wetlands. Compensatory mitigation may be required by district engineers to ensure that the net adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal. Division engineers can regionally condition this NWP to restrict or prohibit the use of this NWP in high value wetlands. District engineers will also exercise discretionary authority to require an individual permit if the wetlands to be filled are high value and the work will result in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. District engineers can also add case-specific special conditions to the NWP authorization to provide protection to wetlands or require compensatory mitigation to offset losses of wetlands.

(f) Historic properties: General Condition 12 states that the NWPs cannot authorize activities that affect historic properties listed, or eligible for listing in, the National Register of Historic Places, until the District Engineer has complied with 33 CFR Part 325, Appendix C. The provisions of Appendix C ensure that activities authorized by NWPs comply with the National Historic Preservation Act.

(g) Fish and wildlife values: This NWP authorizes activities in all waters of the United States, including wetlands and streams, which provide habitat to many species of fish and wildlife. Activities authorized by this NWP may alter the habitat characteristics of streams and wetlands, decreasing the quantity and quality of fish and wildlife habitat. Wetland and riparian vegetation provides food and habitat for many species, including foraging areas, resting areas, corridors for wildlife movement, and nesting and breeding grounds. Open waters provide habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. Woody riparian vegetation shades streams, which reduces water temperature fluctuations and provides habitat for fish and other aquatic animals. Riparian

vegetation provides organic matter that is consumed by fish and aquatic invertebrates. Woody riparian vegetation creates habitat diversity in streams when trees and large shrubs fall into the channel, forming snags that provide habitat and shade for fish. The morphology of a stream channel may be altered by activities authorized by this NWP, which can affect fish populations. However, division engineers can regionally condition this NWP to allow case-by-case review of certain activities authorized by the NWP, to ensure that those activities result in minimal adverse effects to the aquatic environment. In addition, compensatory mitigation may be required by district engineers to restore, enhance, create, and/or preserve wetlands and other aquatic habitats to offset losses of waters of the United States. Vegetated buffers next to open and flowing waters may also be required as compensatory mitigation. These methods of compensatory mitigation will provide fish and wildlife habitat values.

General Condition 4 will reduce the adverse effects to fish and other aquatic species by prohibiting activities that substantially disrupt the movement of indigenous aquatic species. Compliance with General Conditions 17 and 20 will ensure that the authorized work has minimal adverse effects on shellfish beds and spawning areas, respectively. The authorized work cannot have more than minimal adverse effects on breeding areas for migratory waterfowl, due to the requirements of General Condition 23.

Pursuant to Section 305(b)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery and Conservation Management Act, the Corps entered into programmatic Essential Fish Habitat consultation with the NMFS. As discussed elsewhere in this document (i.e., Section 4(c)(ii)(g), Section 4(c)(iii)(h), and Section 4(c)(iii)(l)), the NWPs contain provisions that will ensure that impacts to Essential Fish Habitat are minimal, individually or cumulatively. Division and district engineers can impose regional and special conditions to ensure that activities authorized by this NWP will result in minimal adverse effects on Essential Fish Habitat.

(h) Flood hazards: The activities authorized by this NWP may affect the flood-holding capacity of 100-year floodplains, including surface water flow velocities. Changes in the flood-holding capacity of 100-year floodplains may impact human health, safety, and welfare. Compliance with General Condition 21 will reduce flood hazards. This general condition requires the permittee to maintain preconstruction surface flow rates from the site and avoid relocating or redirecting water to the maximum extent practicable. It is important to note that much of the land area within 100-year floodplains is upland, and outside of the Corps scope of review.

(i) Floodplain values: Activities authorized by this NWP may affect the flood-holding capacity of floodplains, as well as other floodplain values. The fish and wildlife habitat values of floodplains will be adversely affected by activities authorized by this NWP, by modifying or eliminating areas used for nesting, foraging, resting, and reproduction. The water quality functions of floodplains may also be adversely affected by these activities. Modification of the floodplain may also adversely affect other hydrological processes, such as groundwater recharge.

Compensatory mitigation may be required for activities authorized by this NWP, which will offset losses of waters of the United States and provide water quality functions and wildlife habitat. General Condition 19 requires on-site avoidance and minimization of impacts to waters of the United States to the maximum extent practicable, which will reduce losses of floodplain values. The mitigation requirements of General Condition 19 will help ensure that the adverse effects of these activities on floodplain values are minimal. Compliance with General Condition 21 will ensure that activities in 100-year floodplains will not cause more than minimal adverse effects on flood storage and conveyance.

(j) Land use: Activities authorized by this NWP will often change the land use from natural to developed. The change in land use will alter the character of the area, usually resulting in the loss of open space. The general public will often benefit from the activities authorized by this NWP. The construction of developments will, in most cases, provide economic benefits for the surrounding community. These developments may induce further development on nearby parcels of land. Since the primary responsibility for land use decisions is held by state, local, and Tribal governments, the Corps scope of analysis is limited to significant issues of overriding national importance, such as navigation and water quality (see 33 CFR 320.4(j)(2)).

(k) Navigation: Activities authorized by this NWP must comply with General Condition 1, which states that no activity may cause more than minimal adverse effects on navigation. District engineers will review the work and determine if there will be any adverse effects on navigation.

(l) Shore erosion and accretion: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minor direct effects on shore erosion and accretion processes, because the NWP authorizes activities with minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. However, NWP 13, regional general permits, or individual permits may be used to authorize bank stabilization projects associated with completed enforcement actions authorized by this NWP.

(m) Recreation: Activities authorized by this NWP may change the recreational uses of the area. Certain recreational activities, such as bird watching, hunting, and fishing may no longer be available in the area, but other recreational facilities, such as playgrounds, playing fields, and golf courses, may be constructed as part of the development and provide recreational activities in the area.

(n) Water supply and conservation: Activities authorized by this NWP may adversely affect both surface water and groundwater supplies. Categorical exclusions authorized by this NWP may increase demand for potable water in the region. Some activities authorized by this NWP may increase the amount of impervious surface in the area, which may decrease replenishment of groundwater supplies. Activities authorized by this NWP can also affect the quality of water supplies by adding pollutants and toxic chemicals to surface waters and groundwater, but many

causes of water pollution, such as discharges regulated under Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, are outside the Corps scope of analysis. Some water pollution concerns can be addressed through water quality management measures that may be required for activities authorized by this NWP. The quantity and quality of local water supplies may be enhanced through the construction of water treatment facilities. Division and district engineers can prohibit the use of this NWP in watersheds for public water supplies, if it is in the public interest to do so. General Condition 16 prohibits discharges in the vicinity of public water supply intakes. Compensatory mitigation may be required for activities authorized by this NWP, which will help improve the quality of surface waters.

(o) Water quality: Categorical exclusion activities in wetlands and open waters may have adverse effects on water quality. These activities can result in increases in nutrients, sediments, and pollutants in the water. The loss of wetland and riparian vegetation will adversely affect water quality because these plants trap sediments, pollutants, and nutrients and transform chemical compounds. Wetland and riparian vegetation also provides habitat for microorganisms that remove nutrients and pollutants from water. Wetlands, through the accumulation of organic matter, act as sinks for some nutrients and other chemical compounds, reducing the amounts of these substances in the water column. Wetlands and riparian areas also decrease the velocity of flood waters, removing suspended sediments from the water column and reducing turbidity. Riparian vegetation also serves an important role in the water quality of streams by shading the water from the intense heat of the sun. Compensatory mitigation may be required for activities authorized by this NWP, to ensure that the work does not have more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment, including water quality. Wetlands and riparian areas restored, created, enhanced, or preserved as compensatory mitigation may provide local water quality benefits.

During construction, small amounts of oil and grease from construction equipment may be discharged into the waterway. Because most of the construction will occur during a relatively short period of time, the frequency and concentration of these discharges are not expected to have more than minimal adverse effects on overall water quality.

This NWP requires a Section 401 water quality certification, since it authorizes discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. Most water quality concerns are addressed by the state or Tribal Section 401 agency. In accordance with General Condition 9, the permittee may be required to develop and implement water quality management measures that minimize the degradation of the downstream aquatic environment, including water quality. The Corps will require water quality management measures where necessary, if the state or Tribal 401 agency does not require such measures. Water quality management measures may involve the installation of stormwater management facilities to trap pollutants and the establishment and maintenance of vegetated buffers next to open waters. Vegetated buffers may be required for activities authorized by the NWP, if there are streams or other open waters

on the project site. The vegetated buffers will protect downstream water quality and enhance the aquatic habitat.

(p) Energy needs: Activities authorized by this NWP may cause increases in energy consumption in the area, especially electricity, natural gas, and petroleum products. Additional power plants or oil refineries may be needed to meet increases in energy demand, but these issues are beyond the Corps scope of review. Existing infrastructure may have to be expanded to provide energy to new developments or projects.

(q) Safety: The activities authorized by this NWP will be subject to Federal, state, and local safety laws and regulations. Therefore, this NWP will not adversely affect the safety of the project area.

(r) Food and fiber production: Activities authorized by this NWP may adversely affect food and fiber production, especially where farmland is converted to other uses. The conversion of farmland reduces the amount of available agricultural land in the nation, unless that land is replaced by converting other land, such as forest, to agricultural land. The loss of farmland is outside of the Corps scope of analysis.

(s) Mineral needs: Activities authorized by this NWP may increase demand for aggregates and stone, which are used to construct buildings and roads. Activities authorized by this NWP may increase the demand for other building materials, such as steel, aluminum, and copper, which are made from mineral ores.

(t) Considerations of property ownership: The NWP complies with 33 CFR 320.4(g), which states that an inherent aspect of property ownership is a right to reasonable private use. The NWP provides expedited DA authorization for categorical exclusions, provided the activity complies with the terms and conditions of the NWP and results in minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment.

(iii) 404(b)(1) Guidelines Impact Analysis (Subparts C through F):

(a) Substrate: Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States will alter the substrate of those waters, usually replacing the aquatic area with dry land, and changing the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the substrate. The original substrate will be removed or covered by other material, such as concrete, asphalt, soil, gravel, etc. Temporary fills may be placed upon the substrate, but must be removed upon completion of the work (see General Condition 24). Higher rates of erosion may result during construction, but General Condition 3 requires the use of appropriate measures to control soil erosion and sediment.

(b) Suspended particulates/turbidity: Depending on the method of construction, soil erosion and

sediment control measures, equipment, composition of the bottom substrate, and wind and current conditions during construction, fill material placed in open waters will temporarily increase water turbidity. Particulates could be resuspended in the water column during removal of temporary fills. The turbidity plume will normally be limited to the immediate vicinity of the disturbance and should dissipate shortly after each phase of the construction activity. General Condition 3 requires the permittee to stabilize exposed soils and other fills, which will reduce turbidity. In many localities, developers are required to develop and implement sediment and erosion control plans to minimize the entry of soil into the aquatic environment. NWP activities cannot create turbidity plumes that smother important spawning areas downstream (see General Condition 20).

(c) Water: Activities authorized by this NWP can affect some characteristics of water, such as water clarity, chemical content, dissolved gas concentrations, pH, and temperature. The discharge of dredged or fill material incidental to categorical exclusions can change the chemical and physical characteristics of the waterbody by introducing suspended or dissolved chemical compounds or sediments into the water. Changes in water quality can affect the species and quantities of organisms inhabiting the aquatic area. Water quality certification is required for activities authorized by this NWP, which will ensure that the work does not violate applicable water quality standards.

(d) Current patterns and water circulation: Activities authorized by this NWP may adversely affect the movement of water in the aquatic environment. General Condition 21 requires the authorized activity to be designed to withstand expected high flows and maintain preconstruction surface flow rates from the site to the maximum extent practicable.

(e) Normal water level fluctuations: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minor adverse effects on normal patterns of water level fluctuations due to tides and flooding. District engineers will review these activities to ensure that adverse effects to normal water level fluctuations are minimal. General Condition 21 requires the permittee to maintain preconstruction surface flow rates from the site to the maximum extent practicable.

(f) Salinity gradients: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minor adverse effects on affect salinity gradients. District engineers will review these activities to ensure that adverse effects to salinity gradients are minimal.

(g) Threatened and endangered species: The Corps believes that the procedures currently in place result in proper coordination under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and ensure that activities authorized by this NWP will not jeopardize the continued existence or any listed threatened and endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. The Corps also believes that current local procedures in Corps districts are effective in ensuring compliance with ESA.

Each activity authorized by an NWP is subject to General Condition 11, which states that "no activity is authorized under any NWP which is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a threatened or endangered species or a species proposed for such designation, as identified under the Federal Endangered Species Act, or which is likely to modify the critical habitat of such species." In addition, General Condition 11 explicitly states that the NWP does not authorize the taking of threatened or endangered species, which will ensure that permittees do not mistake the NWP authorization as a Federal authorization to take threatened or endangered species. General Condition 11 also requires the applicant to notify the District Engineer if there are endangered or threatened species in the vicinity of the project.

Under the current Corps regulations (33 CFR 325.2(b)(5)), the District Engineer must review all permit applications for potential impacts on threatened and endangered species or critical habitat. For the NWP program, this review occurs when the District Engineer evaluates the preconstruction notification or request for verification. Based on the evaluation of all available information, the District Engineer will initiate consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) or National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), as appropriate, if he or she determines that the regulated activity may affect any threatened and endangered species or critical habitat. Consultation may occur during the NWP authorization process or the district engineer may exercise discretionary authority to require an individual permit for the proposed activity and initiate consultation through the individual permit process. If ESA consultation is conducted during the NWP authorization process without the District Engineer exercising discretionary authority, then the applicant will be notified that he or she cannot proceed with the proposed activity until ESA consultation is complete. If the District Engineer determines that the activity will have no effect on any threatened and endangered species or critical habitat, then the District Engineer will notify the applicant that he or she may proceed under the NWP authorization.

Corps districts have, in most cases, established informal or formal procedures with local offices of the FWS and NMFS, through which the agencies share information regarding threatened and endangered species and their critical habitat. This information helps district engineers determine if a proposed activity will affect endangered species or their critical habitat and, if necessary, initiate consultation. Corps districts may utilize maps or databases that identify locations of populations of threatened and endangered species and their critical habitat. Regional conditions are added to NWPs, where necessary, to require notification for activities that occur in known locations of threatened and endangered species or critical habitat. For activities that require agency coordination during the notification process, the FWS and NMFS will review the proposed work for potential impacts to threatened and endangered species and their critical habitat. Any information provided by local maps and databases and any comments received during the notification process will be used by the district engineer to make a "may affect" or "not likely to adversely affect" decision. General Condition 25 requires notification in accordance with General Condition 13 for any activity proposed in designated critical habitat of Federally-listed threatened or endangered species, unless the activity complies with General

Condition 11 and the FWS or NMFS has concurred in a determination of compliance.

Based on the safeguards discussed above, especially General Condition 11, the Corps has determined that the activities authorized by this NWP will not jeopardize the continued existence of any listed threatened or endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat. Although the Corps continues to believe that these procedures ensure compliance with ESA, the Corps has taken some steps to provide further assurance. Corps district offices have met with local representatives of the FWS and NMFS to establish or modify existing procedures, where necessary, to ensure that the Corps has the latest information regarding the existence and location of any threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat. Corps districts can also establish, through local procedures or other means, additional safeguards that ensure compliance with ESA. Through formal consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, or through other coordination with the FWS and/or the NMFS, as appropriate, the Corps will establish procedures to ensure that the NWP will not jeopardize any threatened and endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat. Such procedures will be included as regional conditions to the NWPs or as special conditions of an NWP authorization, if necessary.

(h) Fish, crustaceans, molluscs, and other aquatic organisms in the food web:

All activities authorized by this NWP will be reviewed by district engineers, to ensure that adverse effects to fish and other aquatic organisms in the food web are minimal. During construction fish and other motile animals will avoid the project site during construction. Sessile or slow-moving animals in the path of discharges, equipment, and building materials will be destroyed. Some aquatic animals may be smothered by the placement of fill material or when suspended material settles to the bottom. Motile animals will return to those areas that are temporarily impacted by the work and restored or allowed to revert back to preconstruction conditions. Benthic and sessile animals are expected to recolonize sites temporarily impacted by the work, after those areas are restored. Activities that alter the riparian zone, especially floodplains, may adversely affect populations of fish and other aquatic animals, by altering stream flow, flooding patterns, and surface and groundwater hydrology. Some species of fish spawn on floodplains, which could be prevented if the development involves clearing or filling the floodplain. The activities authorized by this NWP may adversely alter habitat features by increasing surface water flow velocities, which can increase erosion and reduce the amount of habitat for aquatic organisms and destroy spawning areas.

Division and district engineers can place conditions on this NWP to prohibit discharges during important stages of the life cycles of certain aquatic organisms. Such time of year restrictions can prevent adverse effects to these aquatic organisms during reproduction and development periods. General Conditions 17 and 20 address protection of shellfish beds and spawning areas, respectively. General Condition 17 prohibits activities in areas of concentrated shellfish

populations. General Condition 20 states that activities in spawning areas during spawning seasons must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable. In addition, General Condition 20 also prohibits activities that result in the physical destruction of important spawning areas. General Condition 21 requires the maintenance of preconstruction downstream flow conditions to the maximum extent practicable, which will help minimize adverse impacts to fish, shellfish, and other aquatic organisms in the food web.

(i) Other wildlife: Activities authorized by this NWP will result in adverse effects on other wildlife associated with aquatic ecosystems, such as resident and transient mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians, through the destruction of aquatic habitat, including breeding and nesting areas, escape cover, travel corridors, and preferred food sources. This NWP does not authorize activities that jeopardize the continued existence of Federally-listed endangered and threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Compensatory mitigation, including the establishment and maintenance of vegetated buffers next to open waters, may be required for activities authorized by this NWP, which will help offset losses of aquatic habitat for wildlife. General Condition 23 states that activities in breeding areas for migratory waterfowl must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.

(j) Special aquatic sites: The potential impacts to specific special aquatic sites are discussed below:

(1) Sanctuaries and refuges: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minimal adverse effects on waters of the United States within sanctuaries or refuges designated by Federal or state laws or local ordinances. General Condition 25 requires notification, in accordance with General Condition 13, for the use of this NWP in NOAA-designated marine sanctuaries, National Estuarine Research Reserves, coral reefs, state natural heritage sites, and outstanding national resource waters officially designated by the state where those waters are located. For those sanctuaries and refuges not listed above, division engineers can regionally condition the NWP to restrict or prohibit its use in those areas. District engineers can also exercise discretionary authority and require individual permits for specific projects in waters of the United States in sanctuaries and refuges if those activities will result in more than minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment.

(2) Wetlands: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minimal adverse effects on wetlands. Division engineers can regionally condition this NWP to restrict or prohibit its use in certain high value wetlands. See paragraph (e) in Section 4(c)(ii), above, for a more detailed discussion of impacts to wetlands.

(3) Mud flats: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minimal adverse effects on mud flats. Division engineers can regionally condition the NWP to restrict or prohibit

its use in mud flats.

(4) Vegetated shallows: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minimal adverse effects on vegetated shallows. Division engineers can regionally condition the NWP to restrict or prohibit its use in vegetated shallows.

(5) Coral reefs: The activities authorized by this NWP will have minimal adverse effects on coral reefs. Division engineers can regionally condition the NWP to restrict or prohibit its use in coral reefs.

(6) Riffle and pool complexes: Activities in riffle and pool complexes may be authorized by this NWP but will have a minimal adverse effect. Division engineers can regionally condition the NWP to restrict or prohibit its use in coral reefs.

(k) Municipal and private water supplies: See paragraph (n) in Section 4(c)(ii), above, for a discussion of potential impacts to water supplies.

(l) Recreational and commercial fisheries, including Essential Fish Habitat: The activities authorized by this NWP may adversely affect waters of the United States that act as habitat for populations of economically important fish and shellfish species. Division and district engineers can condition this NWP to prohibit discharges during important life cycle stages, such as spawning or development periods, of economically valuable fish and shellfish. Compliance with General Conditions 17 and 20 will ensure that the authorized work does not adversely affect concentrated shellfish populations or important spawning areas.

Pursuant to Section 305(b)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery and Conservation Management Act, the Corps entered into programmatic Essential Fish Habitat consultation with the NMFS. As discussed elsewhere in this document (i.e., Section 4(c)(ii)(g), Section 4(c)(iii)(h), and Section 4(c)(iii)(l)), the NWPs contain provisions that will ensure that impacts to Essential Fish Habitat are minimal, individually or cumulatively. Division and district engineers can impose regional and special conditions to ensure that activities authorized by this NWP will result in minimal adverse effects on Essential Fish Habitat.

(m) Water-related recreation: See paragraph (m) in Section 4(c)(ii) above.

(n) Aesthetics: See paragraph (c) in Section 4(c)(ii), above.

(o) Parks, national and historical monuments, national seashores, wilderness areas, research sites, and similar areas: General Condition 25 requires notification, in accordance with General Condition 13, for the use of this NWP in designated critical resource waters and adjacent wetlands, including wetlands adjacent to such waters, which may be located in parks, national

and historical monuments, national seashores, wilderness areas, and research sites. This NWP can be used to authorize activities in parks, national and historical monuments, national seashores, wilderness areas, and research sites if the manager or caretaker wants to conduct work in waters of the United States and those activities result in minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment and do not involve discharges into designated critical resource waters or wetlands adjacent to those waters. Division engineers can regionally condition the NWP to prohibit its use in designated areas, such as national wildlife refuges or wilderness areas.

(iv) Cumulative Impacts:

The cumulative impacts of an NWP generally do not depend on the number of times the permit is used on a national basis but on the number of times the NWP and other DA permits are used within a specific geographic area, particularly a watershed. In a specific watershed, division or district engineers may determine that the cumulative adverse effects of activities authorized by NWPs are more than minimal. Division and district engineers will monitor and review geographic areas that may be subject to more than minimal cumulative adverse effects. Division and district engineers have the authority to require individual permits where the cumulative adverse effects are more than minimal, or add conditions to the NWP either on a case-by-case or regional basis to ensure that the cumulative adverse effects are minimal. When division or district engineers determine that a geographic area is subject to more than minimal cumulative adverse effects due to the use of the NWPs, they will use the revocation and modification procedure at 33 CFR 330.5. In reaching the final decision, they will compile information on the cumulative adverse effects and supplement this document.

Based on reported use of his NWP in calendar year 2000, the Corps estimates that this NWP will be used approximately 900 times per year on a national basis, with approximately 310 acres of wetland impacts. The Corps estimates that approximately 550 acres of compensatory mitigation will be required to offset these impacts. The demand for these types of activities could increase or decrease over the five-year duration of this NWP. Using the current trend, approximately 3500 NWP 23 activities could be authorized over a five year period until this NWP expires, resulting in the loss of approximately 1,550 acres of wetlands. Approximately 2750 acres of compensatory mitigation would be required to offset those losses of waters of the United States. The Corps expects that the convenience and time savings associated with the use of this NWP will encourage applicants to design their projects within the scope of the NWP rather than request individual permits for projects which could result in greater adverse impacts to the aquatic environment.

(d) Additional Public Interest Review Factors (33 CFR 320.4(a)(2)):

(i) Relative extent of the public and private need for the proposed structure or work:

This NWP authorize discharges of dredged or fill material incidental to categorical exclusions. These activities will satisfy a variety of public and private needs. The need for this NWP is based upon the large number of these activities that occur annually with minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment.

- (ii) Where there are unresolved conflicts as to resource use, the practicability of using reasonable alternative locations and methods to accomplish the objective of the proposed structure or work:

Most situations in which there are unresolved conflicts concerning resource use arise when environmentally sensitive areas are involved (e.g., special aquatic sites, including wetlands) or where there are competing uses of a resource. The nature and scope of the activity, when planned and constructed in accordance with the terms and conditions of this NWP, reduce the likelihood of such conflict. In the event that there is a conflict, the NWP contains provisions that are capable of resolving the matter (see Sections 1 and 3 of this document).

General Condition 19 requires permittees to avoid and minimize discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States to the maximum extent practicable on the project site. Consideration of off-site alternative locations is not required for activities that are authorized by general permits. General permits authorize activities that have minimal individual and cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment and overall public interest. District engineers will exercise discretionary authority and require an individual permit if the proposed work will result in more than minimal adverse environmental effects on the project site. The consideration of off-site alternatives can be required during the individual permit process.

- (iii) The extent and permanence of the beneficial and/or detrimental effects which the proposed structure or work is likely to have on the public and private uses to which the area is suited:

The nature and scope of the work authorized by the NWP will most likely restrict the extent of the beneficial and detrimental effects to the area immediately surrounding the discharges of dredged or fill material incidental to the categorical exclusion. Activities authorized by this NWP will have minimal adverse effects on the aquatic environment. A provision of the NWP requires that the discharge, including all attendant features, both temporary and permanent, is part of a single and complete project.

As previously stated, the terms, conditions, and provisions of the NWP were developed to ensure that individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects are minimal. Specifically, NWPs do not obviate the need for the permittee to obtain other Federal, state, or local authorizations required by law. The NWPs do not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges (see Section 3 of this document and 33 CFR 330.4(b) for further information). Additional conditions, limitations, restrictions, and provisions for discretionary authority, as well

as the ability to add activity-specific or regional conditions to this NWP, will provide further safeguards to the aquatic environment and the overall public interest. There are also provisions to allow suspension, modification, or revocation of the NWP. Refer to Sections 1 and 3 of this document for further information and procedures.

5. EVALUATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES PROMULGATED UNDER SECTION 404(b)(1) OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT (40 CFR Part 230):

The 404(b)(1) compliance criteria for general permits are contained in 40 CFR 230.7.

(a) Evaluation Process (40 CFR 230.7(b)(1)):

(i) Alternatives (40 CFR 230.10(a)):

General Condition 19 requires permittees to avoid and minimize discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States to the maximum extent practicable on the project site. The consideration of off-site alternatives is not directly applicable to general permits.

(ii) Prohibitions (40 CFR 230.10(b)):

This NWP authorizes discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, which require Section 401 water quality certification. Water quality certification requirements will be met in accordance with the procedures in 33 CFR 330.4(c).

No toxic discharges will be authorized by this NWP. General Condition 18 specifically states that the material must be free from toxic pollutants in toxic amounts.

This NWP does not authorize activities that jeopardize the continued existence of any listed threatened or endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Reviews of preconstruction notifications, regional conditions, and local operating procedures for endangered species will ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act. Refer to General Condition 11 and to 33 CFR 330.4(f) for information and procedures.

This NWP will not authorize the violation of any requirement to protect any marine sanctuary. Refer to Section 3 of this document for further information.

(iii) Findings of Significant Degradation (40 CFR 230.10(c)):

Potential impact analysis (Subparts C through F):

The potential impact analysis specified in Subparts C through F is discussed in Section 4 of this

document. Mitigation required by the District Engineer will ensure that the adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal.

Evaluation and testing (Subpart G):

Because the terms and conditions of the NWP specify the types of discharges that are authorized, as well as those that are prohibited, individual evaluation and testing for the presence of contaminants will normally not be required. If a situation warrants, provisions of the NWP allow division or district engineers to further specify authorized or prohibited discharges and/or require testing.

Based upon Subparts B and G, after consideration of Subparts C through F, the discharges authorized by this NWP will not cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the United States.

(iv) Factual determinations (40 CFR 230.11):

The factual determinations required in 40 CFR 230.11 are discussed in Section 4 of this document.

(v) Appropriate and practicable steps to minimize potential adverse impacts (40 CFR 230.10(d)):

As demonstrated by the information in this document, as well as the terms, conditions, and provisions of this NWP, actions to minimize adverse effects (Subpart H) have been thoroughly considered and incorporated into the NWP. General Condition 19 requires permittees to avoid and minimize discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States to the maximum extent practicable on the project site. Compensatory mitigation required by the District Engineer will ensure that the net adverse effects on the aquatic environment are minimal.

(b) Evaluation Process (40 CFR 230.7(b)(2)):

(i) Description of permitted activities:

As indicated by the text of this NWP in Section 1 of this document and the discussion of potential impacts in Section 4, the activities authorized by this NWP are sufficiently similar in nature and environmental impact to warrant authorization under a single general permit. Specifically, the purpose of the NWP is to authorize activities undertaken, assisted, authorized, regulated, funded, or financed, in whole or in part, by another Federal agency or department where that agency or department has determined, pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulation for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR part 1500 et seq.), that the activity, work, or discharge is

categorically excluded from environmental documentation, because it is included within a category of actions which neither individually nor cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment, and the Office of the Chief of Engineers (ATTN: CECW-OR) has been furnished notice of the agency's or department's application for the categorical exclusion and concurs with that determination. The nature and scope of the impacts are controlled by the terms and conditions of the NWP.

If a situation arises in which the activity requires further review, or is more appropriately reviewed under the individual permit process, provisions of the NWPs allow division and/or district engineers to take such action.

(c) Cumulative effects (40 CFR 230.7(b)(3)):

The cumulative effects, including the number of activities likely to be authorized under this NWP, are discussed in Section 4 of this document. If a situation arises in which the proposed activity requires further review, or is more appropriately reviewed under the individual permit process, provisions of the NWPs allow division and/or district engineers to take such action.

6. Final Determinations:

(a) Finding of No Significant Impact:

Based on the information in this document, the Corps has determined that the issuance of this NWP will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

(b) 404(b)(1) Compliance:

This NWP has been evaluated for compliance with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, including Subparts C through G. Based on the information in this document, the Corps has determined that the discharges authorized by this NWP comply with the 404(b)(1) Guidelines, with the inclusion of appropriate and practicable conditions, including mitigation, necessary to minimize adverse effects on affected aquatic ecosystems. The activities authorized by this NWP will not result in significant degradation of the aquatic environment.

(c) Public Interest Determination:

In accordance with the requirements of 33 CFR 320.4, the Corps has determined, based on the information in this document, that the issuance of this NWP is not contrary to the public interest.

(d) Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act General Conformity Rule Review:

This NWP has been analyzed for conformity applicability pursuant to regulations implementing Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. It has been determined that the activities authorized by this permit will not exceed de minimis levels of direct emissions of a criteria pollutant or its precursors and are exempted by 40 CFR 93.153. Any later indirect emissions are generally not within the Corps continuing program responsibility and generally cannot be practicably controlled by the Corps. For these reasons, a conformity determination is not required for this NWP.

- (e) Public Hearing: A public hearing was held on September 26, 2001, in Washington, D.C. to solicit comments on the proposed issuance of this NWP.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Date: 04 JAN 2002

/s/

ROBERT H. GRIFFIN
Brigadier General, U.S. Army
Director of Civil Works